

Service Specific Record Review – Support Coordination

#	Performance Measure/Standard	Protocol	Not Met Reasons	CMS Assurance
1	<p>Level of care is reevaluated at least annually.</p>	<p>CMS Assurance - Level of Care iBudget Handbook APD Memo dated 1/24/13 – Instructions for Completion of the Waiver Eligibility Work Sheet RECORD REVIEW</p> <p>Level of Care is to be reevaluated at least annually using the Medicaid Waiver Eligibility Worksheet. Review the Medicaid Waiver Eligibility Worksheet(s) for the Support Plan (s) effective during the review period to ensure it is complete including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Name of the person receiving services • Support Plan effective date indicated • Social Security Number indicated • Section I. Level of Care Eligibility - Option A, B or C is checked and the appropriate handicapping conditions or deficits in major life activities. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ If Option B is checked, either at least one “handicapping condition” or at least three “major life activities” must be marked. ○ If Option C is selected, at least three “major life activities” must be marked. • Section II. Medicaid Eligibility - Option A or B is checked <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ If A is checked, the correct Medicaid number is documented ○ Option B is to be checked only if person receiving services is new to the waiver in the past year or if the individual has lost Medicaid eligibility in the past year and has had it restored. In this instance the “Eligible” box should be checked and date of 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Complete Medicaid Waiver Eligibility Worksheet is not in the record for the entire period of review. (B) 2) Complete Medicaid Waiver Eligibility Worksheet is in the record, but not for the entire period of review. (B) 3) Complete Medicaid Waiver Eligibility Worksheet is in the record, but date of signatures is greater than 365 days apart. (B) 4) Medicaid Waiver Eligibility Worksheet is not signed and dated by person receiving services/legal representative. (B) 5) Medicaid Waiver Eligibility Worksheet is not signed and dated by Support Coordinator. (B) 6) Name of person receiving services is not on the Medicaid Waiver Eligibility Worksheet. (B) 7) Social Security Number of the person receiving services is not on the Medicaid Waiver Eligibility Worksheet. 8) Effective Date of the Support Plan is not on the Eligibility Worksheet. 9) Section I. Level of Care Eligibility is not completed. (B) 10) Section II. Medicaid Eligibility is not completed. 11) Section III. Eligibility determination is not completed. 12) Section IV. Choice is not completed. 	<p>Level of Care</p>

Service Specific Record Review – Support Coordination

#	Performance Measure/Standard	Protocol	Not Met Reasons	CMS Assurance
		<p>determination for restoration of Medicaid should be entered.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section III. Eligibility Determination – Option A. is checked <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ WSC’s dated signature and agency (if applicable) are documented • Section IV. Choice – Option A. is checked <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The dated signature/mark of the person receiving services is present ○ The dated signature of the legal representative, if applicable, is present ○ If the person receiving services uses a mark for a signature, the dated signature of a witness is present ○ Printed name and relationship to the person receiving services, legal representative or witness is present • The Waiver Eligibility Worksheet must be completed in its entirety and <u>signed at intervals of no greater than 365 days.</u> <p>Note: ONLY the individual should sign on the line provided for his or her signature. If the individual is a legally competent adult, he or she must sign this worksheet. If the individual is not capable of signing, they should be assisted in marking the form and the mark shall be witnessed by a caregiver or the WSC. If a legal representative has been appointed through the courts or if the individual has given authority to another person such as through a Power of Attorney, the legal representative should sign and date on the second line. Other than as a witness, at no time should the WSC sign this form.</p>		

Service Specific Record Review – Support Coordination

#	Performance Measure/Standard	Protocol	Not Met Reasons	CMS Assurance
		<p>Note: Support Plan extensions do not apply to Medicaid Waiver Eligibility Worksheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This standard is subject to identification of a potential billing discrepancy</p>		
2	<p>Level of care is completed accurately using the correct instrument/form.</p>	<p>CMS Assurance - Level of Care APD Eligibility Rules: 65G-4.014 - 017 iBudget Handbook RECORD REVIEW</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ask the WSC to describe their system for re-evaluating Level of Care. • Review the Central Record for Psychological and/or Medical Record(s) used to establish eligibility. • Review the Central Record for most recent QSI summary report. • Review the Medicaid Waiver Eligibility Worksheet and ensure: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The correct form is used for the time period; ○ For section I, the Option selected is consistent with IQ and/or Disability Category referenced in Psychological and/or Medical Record(s) filed in Central Record; ○ If Option B or C are checked, the Handicapping Condition(s) and/or Major Life Activities checked off are consistent with information in the Central Record, including the most current QSI, Psychological and/or Medical Record(s). <p>If the Psychological and/or Medical Record(s) used to establish eligibility are not available in the Central Record</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Unable to determine - Psychological and/or Medical Record(s) used to establish eligibility were not available in the Central Record. 2) Statement from APD concerning absence of Psychological and/or Medical Records did not contain all required information. (***Not Met but not calculated into score) 3) Option checked under section I was not consistent with the Psychological and/or Medical Record(s) in the record. 4) Option checked under section I was not consistent with the QSI in the record. 5) Handicapping Condition(s) checked were not consistent with the Psychological and/or Medical Record(s) in the record. 6) Handicapping Condition(s) checked were not consistent with the Psychological and/or Medical Record(s) in the record. 7) Handicapping Condition(s) checked were not consistent with the QSI report in the record. 8) Major Life Activities checked were not consistent with the Psychological and/or Medical Record(s) in the record. 9) Major Life Activities checked were not 	Level of Care

Service Specific Record Review – Support Coordination

#	Performance Measure/Standard	Protocol	Not Met Reasons	CMS Assurance
		<p>the WSC must follow steps as outlined in AHCA memo dated Dec. 4th 2013 with the Subject line Eligibility Determination – Level of Care.</p> <p>Per this memo: The WSC and APD staff must use due diligence in searching all files associated with the client. After an exhaustive review of all client files, an ABC screen shot of the diagnosis combined with a statement from APD Regional staff may be accepted as a substitute for original documentation.</p> <p>The statement must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be on official APD letterhead • Include the staff member’s signature • Indicate that the individual has been receiving services through APD for many years and documentation of the diagnosis was present in the file when eligibility was originally determined. 	<p>consistent with the QSI report in the record.</p> <p>10) Incorrect or out of date Medicaid Waiver Eligibility Worksheet was in the record.</p> <p>11) Complete Medicaid Waiver Eligibility Worksheet was not in the record.</p>	
3	<p>Person receiving services is given a choice of waiver services or institutional care at least annually.</p>	<p>CMS Assurance - Service Plan iBudget Handbook RECORD REVIEW</p> <p>Review the Medicaid Waiver Eligibility Worksheet(s) for Support Plan(s) effective during the review period to ensure Section IV is complete including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A mark indicating the choice of the person receiving services; • The dated signature/mark of the person receiving services; • The dated signature of the legal representative, if applicable; • If the person receiving services uses a mark for a 	<p>1) Complete Medicaid Waiver Eligibility Worksheet is not in the record for the entire period of review.</p> <p>2) Complete Medicaid Waiver Eligibility Worksheet is in the record but not for the entire period of review.</p> <p>3) Section IV. Choice is not marked.</p> <p>4) Dated signature of person receiving services is not present.</p> <p>5) Dated signature of the legal representative is not present.</p> <p>6) Dated signature of the witness is not present.</p>	Service Plan

Service Specific Record Review – Support Coordination

#	Performance Measure/Standard	Protocol	Not Met Reasons	CMS Assurance
		<p style="text-align: center;">signature, the dated signature of a witness; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Printed name and relationship to the person if signed by the legal representative or witness. 		
4	<p>The Support Plan is updated within 12 months of recipient's last Support Plan.</p>	<p>CMS Assurance - Service Plan iBudget Handbook RECORD REVIEW</p> <p>Review the Central Record for the Support Plan(s) effective during the entire review period.</p> <p>Determine if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Support Plan was completed prior to the annual Support Plan effective date and present for each month billed by the WSC for the entire period of review. • The Support Plan is present and directions on the APD Support Plan form are followed for each section. • Support Plan must include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annual Summary (In accordance with s. 393.0651, F.S., complete an annual report of the supports and service received throughout the year, description of progress toward meeting individually determined goals and any pertinent information about significant events that have happened in the life of the individual for the previous year). • Personal Attributes/Future View page • Life Area page • Health Summary page • Personal Goal page • The signature page which must include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Dated signature of the person receiving 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Complete Support Plan was not in the record for entire period of review. (B) 2) Complete Support Plan was in the record, but was not completed prior to the annual effective date. (B) 3) Support Plan did not include an annual summary. (B) 4) Support Plan was not signed and dated by the person receiving services. (B) 5) Support Plan was not signed and dated by Support Coordinator. (B) 6) Support Plan was not signed by the legal representative and efforts to obtain signature were not documented. (B) 7) Support Coordinator indicated the person receiving services is unable to sign but did not document this on the Support Plan. 8) Person receiving services was unable to sign, but a witness did not sign. 	Service Plan

Service Specific Record Review – Support Coordination

#	Performance Measure/Standard	Protocol	Not Met Reasons	CMS Assurance
		<p>services;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Dated signature of the parent/legal representative if the person receiving services is a minor; ▪ Dated signature of the legal representative /Guardian Advocate if the person has one(Verify via Probate Court documents); ▪ Dated signature of a witness if the person receiving services was unable to sign or signed using a mark; and ▪ Dated signature of the WSC. <p style="text-align: center;">This standard is subject to identification of a potential billing discrepancy</p>		
5	The Support Plan is updated/ revised when warranted by changes in the needs of the person receiving services.	<p>CMS Assurance - Service Plan iBudget Handbook RECORD REVIEW/PROVIDER INTERVIEW</p> <p>NOTE: For the purposes of this standard, only the “current Support Plan” will be reviewed. This is defined as the Support Plan in effect at the time of the record review.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ask the Support Coordinator to describe the process used to update/revise the Support Plan and how discussions are held to determine if the person receiving services has had a change in needs. • Review Support Plan, QSI, Progress Notes, emails, Behavioral reports, Incident reports, Medical reports, quarterly reviews when applicable, the annual report and 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Current Support Plan is not in the record for entire period of review. 2) Support Plan did not include updates or revisions when needs of the person receiving services changed. 	Service Plan

Service Specific Record Review – Support Coordination

#	Performance Measure/Standard	Protocol	Not Met Reasons	CMS Assurance
		<p>any other applicable supporting documentation in the Central Record to determine whether:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Activities, supports and contacts contain information about changes in the needs of the person receiving services. ○ When the person receiving services does not have a functional means of communication, look for documentation the Support Coordinator has obtained information and recommendations from the circle of supports of the person receiving services. ○ If any changes in the needs of the person receiving services are noted, review the applicable Support Plan to see if it has been updated/ revised accordingly. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● If no changes in needs were warranted for the entire period of review, score as N/A. <p>If PCR, ask the person receiving services to describe needs. Ask how the Support Coordinator has provided support to identify the needs.</p>		
6	The Support Plan is provided to the individual and when applicable, the legal representative, within required time frames.	<p>CMS Assurance - Service Plan iBudget Handbook RECORD REVIEW</p> <p>Review the signature page of the Support Plan or other supporting documentation in the Central Record to determine if the Support Plan was:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Provided to the person receiving services/legal legal representative within 10 calendar days of the Support Plan effective date; 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) No documentation was available to show the Support Plan was distributed to the person receiving services within 10 calendar days of Support Plan effective date. 2) No documentation was available to show the Support Plan was distributed to the legal representative within 10 calendar days of Support Plan effective date. 	Service Plan

Service Specific Record Review – Support Coordination

#	Performance Measure/Standard	Protocol	Not Met Reasons	CMS Assurance
7	The Support Plan is provided to the providers identified on the support plan within required time frames.	<p>CMS Assurance - Service Plan iBudget Handbook RECORD REVIEW</p> <p>Review the signature page of the Support Plan or other supporting documentation in the Central Record to determine if the Support Plan was:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provided to service provider(s) within 10 calendar days of the Support Plan effective date; and 	<p>1) No documentation was available to show the Support Plan was distributed to the providers within 10 calendar days of Support Plan effective date.</p>	Service Plan
8	Support Plan includes supports and services consistent with assessed needs.	<p>CMS Assurance - Service Plan iBudget Handbook RECORD REVIEW/PROVIDER INTERVIEW</p> <p>NOTE: For the purposes of this standard, only the “current Support Plan” will be reviewed. This is defined as the Support Plan in effect at the time of the record review.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review the current Support Plan to identify the supports and services. • Review the Support Plan, QSI report, Progress Notes, Behavioral Assessments & Functional Community Assessments (if applicable) and any other applicable supporting documentation in the central record to determine the assessed needs of the person receiving services. • Conduct a comparative review of documentation to determine if the supports and services identified in the Support Plan are consistent with the assessed needs of the person receiving services. 	<p>1) Current Support Plan is not in the record for the entire period of review.</p> <p>2) Current Support Plan included documentation related to some, but not all of the assessed needs.</p> <p>3) Current Support Plan did not include documentation related to the assessed needs.</p>	Service Plan

Service Specific Record Review – Support Coordination

#	Performance Measure/Standard	Protocol	Not Met Reasons	CMS Assurance
		<p>If PCR, ask the person receiving services to describe needs. Ask how the Support Coordinator has provided support to identify the needs.</p>		
9	<p>Support Plan reflects support and services necessary to address assessed risks.</p>	<p>CMS Assurance - Service Plan iBudget Handbook RECORD REVIEW/PROVIDER INTERVIEW</p> <p>NOTE: For the purposes of this standard, only the “current Support Plan” will be reviewed. This is defined as the Support Plan in effect at the time of the record review.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review the current Support Plan to identify the supports and services. • Review the Support Plan, QSI report, Progress Notes, and any other applicable supporting documentation in the Central Record to determine the assessed risks of the person receiving services. • Conduct a comparative review of documentation to determine if the supports and services identified in the Support Plan are consistent with the assessed risks of the person receiving services. <p>If PCR, ask the person receiving services to describe risks. Ask how the Support Coordinator has provided support to identify the risks.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Current Support Plan is not in the record for the entire period of review. 2) Current Support Plan included documentation related to some, but not all of the assessed risks. 3) Current Support Plan did not include documentation related to the assessed risks. 	Service Plan
10	<p>Support Plan reflects the personal goals of the person receiving services.</p>	<p>CMS Assurance - Service Plan iBudget Handbook RECORD REVIEW/PROVIDER INTERVIEW</p> <p>NOTE: For the purposes of this standard, only the “current</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Current Support Plan did not reflect the person’s goals. 2) Documentation did not reflect use of a Person Centered approach to determine the personal goals of the person receiving services. 	Service Plan

Service Specific Record Review – Support Coordination

#	Performance Measure/Standard	Protocol	Not Met Reasons	CMS Assurance
		<p>Support Plan” will be reviewed. This is defined as the Support Plan in effect at the time of the record review.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review the current Support Plan to identify the goals. • Review Support Plan, Progress Notes, emails, quarterly reviews when applicable, the annual report and any other applicable supporting documentation in the Central Record to determine whether: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Activities, supports and contacts contain information about working with the person receiving services to identify and define his/her goals. ○ When the person receiving services does not have a functional means of communication, look for documentation the Support Coordinator has obtained information and recommendations from the circle of supports of the person receiving services. • Compare the information identified in the record with the information reflected in the Support Plan to determine if the Support Plan reflects the personal goals of the person receiving services. <p>If PCR, ask the person receiving services to describe goals. Ask how the Support Coordinator has provided support to identify the goals.</p>	<p>3) Documentation did not reflect use of circle of supports in identifying the personal goals of the person receiving services.</p> <p>4) Support planning process was driven primarily by circle of supports instead of the person receiving services.</p>	
11	The current Support Plan includes natural, generic, community and paid supports for the person receiving	<p>CMS Assurance - Service Plan iBudget Handbook RECORD REVIEW/PROVIDER INTERVIEW</p> <p>NOTE: For the purposes of this standard, only the “current Support Plan” will be reviewed. This is defined as the Support</p>	1) Current Support Plan did not identify non-waiver supports the person receiving services receives.	Service Plan

Service Specific Record Review – Support Coordination

#	Performance Measure/Standard	Protocol	Not Met Reasons	CMS Assurance
	services.	<p>Plan in effect at the time of the record review.</p> <p>Funding sources shall be accessed to include but not be limited to the following in this order:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Natural and community supports; 2. Third Party Payer, such as private insurance; 3. Medicare; 4. Other Medicaid programs; and 5. Budget Florida, which is the payer of last resort. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ask the Support Coordinator to describe natural, generic, community, and paid resources that are included in the circle of support for the person receiving services. • Review the current Support Plan to determine if natural, generic, community and paid resources apart from the iBudget Waiver are identified. <p>If PCR, ask the person receiving services about supports received that are not paid for through the iBudget Waiver.</p> <p>If the Support Plan was not in the record at all, score this standard N/A.</p>		
12	Current, accurate and approved Service Authorizations were issued to provider(s).	<p>CMS Assurance - Service Plan iBudget Handbook RECORD REVIEW</p> <p>Ask the Support Coordinator about their method of documenting Service Authorizations were provided to each provider.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) There was no documentation indicating when/how Service Authorizations were issued for current Support Plan/Cost Plan. 2) One or more Service Authorizations were not issued for current Support Plan/Cost Plan. 3) Rates were not correct on one or more Service Authorization for current Support 	Service Plan

Service Specific Record Review – Support Coordination

#	Performance Measure/Standard	Protocol	Not Met Reasons	CMS Assurance
		<p>Review Support Plan(s) and Cost Plan(s) to identify services approved for the period under review and determine if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Service Authorization(s) are available for the entire period of review. • Service Authorization(s) are in approved status. • The correct rates are on the Service Authorizations. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Refer to the APD Provider rate table as needed. • Service Authorizations are provided quarterly or more frequently as changes dictate. <p>Note: Hardcopies of the Service Authorizations do not need to be in the record; WSC simply needs to be able to show for each approved service on the Cost Plan that there is an accurate approved Service Authorization in the system and it is was provided to each service provider.</p>	Plan/Cost Plan.	
13	<p>Services are delivered in accordance with the Cost Plan, including type, scope, amount, duration, and frequency specified in the Cost Plan.</p>	<p>CMS Assurance - Service Plan iBudget Handbook RECORD REVIEW</p> <p>Since the Support Coordinator's role includes the coordination of services and supports, this standard applies to all the services identified on an approved Cost Plan.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review the current Cost Plan & Service Authorizations to determine type scope, amount, duration and frequency of approved services. • Review record including claims to determine if services are being rendered as approved. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) One or more services are not being delivered as approved. 2) WSC indicates they have been unable to locate a provider but has not documented their efforts to do so. 3) WSC indicates person and/or family no longer want a particular service but has not documented this. 	Service Plan

Service Specific Record Review – Support Coordination

#	Performance Measure/Standard	Protocol	Not Met Reasons	CMS Assurance
		<p>If Applicable:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ask the WSC about any services not being rendered as approved. • If WSC indicates service is not being provided due to lack of available providers or choice of individual/family review for documentation in the record showing WSC's efforts to address. • If documentation supports WSC's reasons for service(s) not being rendered as approved, score as Met. <p>If PCR, ask the person receiving services if they are receiving all services approved on their Cost Plan. If any services are not being received/used as approved inquire about reason.</p>		
14	The Support Coordinator is in compliance with billing procedures and the Medicaid provider agreement.	<p>CMS Assurance – Financial Accountability iBudget Handbook RECORD REVIEW</p> <p>Support Coordinator is not to bill for services prior to rendering</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review Claims data for date billed • Review dates on Progress Notes • Determine whether or not required minimum contacts were completed prior to billing for each month of service the review period. <p>Provider bills the correct rate:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited WSC/CDC • Full WSC/CDC • Enhanced WSC/CDC <p>This standard is subject to identification of a potential billing discrepancy</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Support Coordinator billed for services prior to completing/documenting minimum contacts for one or more months during the period of review. 2) Support Coordinator billed an incorrect rate. (B) 	Financial Account.

Service Specific Record Review – Support Coordination

#	Performance Measure/Standard	Protocol	Not Met Reasons	CMS Assurance
15	Progress Notes reflect required monthly contact/activities and are in the record.	<p>CMS Assurance – Financial Accountability iBudget Handbook RECORD REVIEW</p> <p>Contact Requirements The requirements by level are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Full Support Coordination: at a minimum, two billable contacts with or activities on behalf of an individual each month in order to bill Medicaid. • Limited Support Coordination: at a minimum one billable contact with or activity on behalf of an individual each month in order to bill Medicaid. • Enhanced Support Coordination: at least four billable contacts monthly with or on behalf of the individual in order to bill Medicaid. <p>The requirements for face-to-face visits in a specific location are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For individuals in Supported Living, the provider must conduct monthly face-to-face visits with a face-to-face visit being in the in the individual’s home at least once every three months. This face-to-face visit will include a supported living quarterly review. The WSC will also conduct at least one other billable activity on behalf of the individual each month. Individuals receiving supported living services must receive at least Full Support Coordination. • For individuals living in an Assisted Living Facility (ALF), WSCs must conduct monthly face-to-face visits, with every other month face-to-face contact at the facility. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Progress Notes for person residing in a licensed residential facility did not include face-to-face contact every month for one or more months. (B) 2) Progress Notes for person residing in a licensed residential facility did not include a home visit contact every three months for one or more months. (B) 3) Progress Notes for person residing in an Assisted Living Facility did not include face-to-face contact every month for one or more months. (B) 4) (New) Progress Notes for person residing in an Assisted Living Facility did not include a facility visit contact every other month for one or more months. (B) 5) Progress Notes for person residing in a Supported Living setting did not include face-to-face contact every month for one or more months. (B) 6) Progress Notes for person residing in a Supported Living setting did not include a home visit contact every three months for one or more months. (B) 7) Progress Notes for person residing in a Supported Living setting did not document if the Support Coordinator scheduled and conducted the Supported Living Quarterly meeting for one or more quarters. (B) 8) Progress Notes for person living in his/her own home did not include a home visit 	Financial Account.

Service Specific Record Review – Support Coordination

#	Performance Measure/Standard	Protocol	Not Met Reasons	CMS Assurance
		<p>Individuals residing in ALF's must receive at least Full Support Coordination.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For individuals residing in a licensed residential facility, WSCs must conduct monthly face-to-face visits with a face-to-face visit with the individual in his or her place of residence every three months. Individuals residing in licensed residential facilities must receive Full Support Coordination. • For individuals living in the family home, the face-to-face contact with the individual in the residence is required every six months for Full Support Coordination and once a year for Limited Support Coordination. The individual's family may not waive the required visit in the home. For Full Support Coordination, the provider must conduct a face-to-face visit every three months and have at least one other billable activity. For Limited Support Coordination, the provider must conduct two face-to-face visits annually and at least one billable contact per month. The need for more frequent face-to-face visits may be determined by the individual, family or primary caregiver. The WSCs shall document this preference in the individual's Support Plan; however, if this results in a number of contacts beyond the minimum for Limited Support Coordination, the individual may need to move to a different level of support coordination. • For individuals residing in their own home and considered to be in an independent living situation, the provider must conduct face-to-face visits every three months in a variety of settings, with a face-to-face visit in the individual's place of residence at least every six months. 	<p>contact every six months for one or more months. (B)</p> <p>9) Progress Notes for person living in his/her own home did not include a face to face visit contact every three months for one or more months. (B)</p> <p>10) Progress Notes for person residing in a family home did not include face-to-face contact at least once every three months. (B)</p> <p>11) Progress Notes for person residing in a family home did not include a home visit contact at least once every six months for one or more times. (B)</p> <p>12) Progress Notes for person receiving Full Support Coordination did not document at least one other contact or activity per month for one or more months. (B)</p> <p>13) Progress Notes for person receiving Limited Support Coordination did not document at least one contact or activity per month for one or more months. (B)</p> <p>14) Progress Notes for person receiving Limited Support Coordination did not include at least two face-to-face contacts per year, with a minimum of one contact being in the person's home. (B)</p> <p>15) Progress Notes for person receiving Enhanced Support Coordination did not include at least weekly face-to-face contacts for first 30 days following discharge. (B)</p> <p>16) Progress Notes for person receiving</p>	

Service Specific Record Review – Support Coordination

#	Performance Measure/Standard	Protocol	Not Met Reasons	CMS Assurance
		<p>For individuals receiving Enhanced Support Coordination, the reason for this level of support coordination must be specified in the Support Plan. The individual will receive two face-to-face visits monthly, at least one that will be at the recipient's residence and at least two additional billable activities.</p> <p>For persons requiring Enhanced Support Coordination for transition purposes, the individual will receive weekly face to face contact visits for the first month after transition to community-based services with one other billable contact. After that month, the visits will be two face to face visits monthly along with at least two other billable contacts monthly. This service delivery format will continue as long as Enhanced Support Coordination is needed but at a minimum of three months following transition.</p> <p>The purpose of the face to face visit is to discuss progress/changes to the individual's goals, status of any resolved issues and satisfaction with current supports received. Each visit should be viewed as an opportunity to give or receive meaningful information that can be used to more effectively assist the individual with achieving goals. Face to face contacts shall relate to or accomplish one or more of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Assist the individual to reach individually determined goals on the Support Plan, including gathering information to identify outcomes; 2. Monitor the health and well-being of the individual; 3. Obtain, develop and maintain resources needed or requested by the individual to include natural supports, 	<p>Enhanced Support Coordination did not include at least one visit in the person's residence per month. (B)</p> <p>17) The Support Coordinator billed for services that were not billable (i.e. leaving messages, scheduling meetings or contacts) for one or more months. (B)</p> <p>18) Progress Notes for person receiving Enhanced Support Coordination did not indicate all required contacts/activities. (B)</p>	

Service Specific Record Review – Support Coordination

#	Performance Measure/Standard	Protocol	Not Met Reasons	CMS Assurance
		<p>generic community supports and other types of resources;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Increase the individual’s involvement in the community; 5. Promote advocacy or informed choice for the individual and/or; 6. Follow up on unresolved concerns or conflicts. <p>Allowable Activities for Billing WSCs must conduct at least one other contact or activity on behalf of the individual each month. These contacts or activities are not merely incidental, but are planned and shall related to or accomplish those items listed in 1-6 above. These contacts may be with the individual or with persons important to his or her life including family members, legal representatives, service providers, community members, etc. and can be via telephone, letter writing or email transmission. Any contact or activity on behalf of the individual must be documented in the support coordination notes. The contacts must be individualized and related to services and benefits specific to the person receiving services. Administrative activities such as typing letters, filing, mailing or leaving messages shall not qualify as contacts or activities. In addition activities including telephone calls to schedule meetings, setting up face-to-face visits or scheduling meetings with the individual’s employer, family, providers, do not qualify as contacts.</p> <p>At times, APD issues memos waiving face-to-face contact requirements for Support Coordinators for one or more months to accommodate specific assignments. If a home visit or Supported Living Quarterly is required in the waived month, the Support Coordinator does not need to make this up</p>		

Service Specific Record Review – Support Coordination

#	Performance Measure/Standard	Protocol	Not Met Reasons	CMS Assurance
		<p>immediately following the end of the extension. The contact should be made based on when it would be due again had the Support Coordinator completed it in the month waived.</p> <p>The Support Coordinator is still expected to make face to face contact with the person if it is needed for health or safety reasons.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This standard is subject to identification of a potential billing discrepancy</p>		
16	The Support Coordinator supports the person receiving services to make informed decisions regarding choice of iBudget services & supports.	<p>CMS Assurance - Service Plan iBudget Handbook RECORD REVIEW/PROVIDER INTERVIEW</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ask the Support Coordinator to describe method used to educate, solicit and document how the person receiving services makes the choice of services & supports. • Ask the Support Coordinator for examples of how the person receiving services made informed decisions regarding choice of services & supports. • Review file for documentation reflecting the Support Coordinator's efforts. <p>If PCR, ask person receiving services how they were supported to make informed decisions regarding choice of services & supports.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) There was no documentation or documentation did not reflect efforts to support/assist the person receiving services to make informed decisions regarding choice of services & supports. 2) Documentation indicated Support Coordinator was making informed decisions about services and supports with little to no input from the person receiving services. 3) Support Coordinator could describe, but did not document education related to making informed decisions regarding choice of iBudget services & supports. 	Service Plan
17	The Support Coordinator supports the person receiving services to	<p>CMS Assurance - Service Plan iBudget Handbook RECORD REVIEW/PROVIDER INTERVIEW</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) There was no documentation reflecting efforts to support/assist the person receiving services to make informed decisions regarding choice among service providers. 	Service Plan

Service Specific Record Review – Support Coordination

#	Performance Measure/Standard	Protocol	Not Met Reasons	CMS Assurance
	make informed decisions regarding choice among iBudget service providers.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ask the Support Coordinator to describe method used to educate, solicit and document how the person receiving services makes the choice among service providers. Ask the Support Coordinator for examples of how they supported the person receiving services to make informed decisions regarding choice among service providers. Review file for documentation reflecting the Support Coordinator's efforts. <p>If PCR, ask person receiving services how they were supported to make informed decisions regarding choice among service providers.</p>	<p>2) Documentation indicated Support Coordinator was making decisions about service providers with little to no input from the person receiving services.</p> <p>3) Support Coordinator could describe, but did not document education related to making informed decisions regarding choice among iBudget service providers.</p>	
18	The provider has evidence of assisting individual/legal representative to know about rights.	<p>CMS Assurance - Service Plan iBudget Handbook RECORD REVIEW/PROVIDER INTERVIEW</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ask the provider how they inform individuals and their families or guardians of their rights and responsibilities and how frequently. Ask the provider for examples of how they have assisted individuals to fully exercise their rights and make informed choices. Interactively, with the provider, review documentation supporting discussion. Ask the provider for examples of how they observe the rights and responsibilities of individuals. 	<p>1) Provider could not describe efforts and documentation did not reflect evidence of assisting the individual/legal representative to know about rights.</p> <p>2) Provider was able to describe efforts to assist the individual/legal representative to know about rights, but had not documented the information.</p>	Service Plan
19	The Support Coordinator monitors to ensure the person's health	<p>CMS Assurance - Health and Welfare iBudget Handbook RECORD REVIEW/PROVIDER INTERVIEW</p>	<p>1) Documentation indicated Support Coordinator was not aware of the person's individualized health and health care needs.</p> <p>2) Documentation indicated Support Coordinator</p>	Health & Welfare

Service Specific Record Review – Support Coordination

#	Performance Measure/Standard	Protocol	Not Met Reasons	CMS Assurance
	and health care needs are addressed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ask the Support Coordinator to describe the method used to gain knowledge of person’s health needs. • Review file for documentation of gaining knowledge of person’s health needs. • Ask the Support Coordinator about person’s individualized health needs. • Ask the Support Coordinator how the person’s health and health care needs have been addressed. • Look for the Support Coordinators method for gathering information concerning doctor visits for preventative, annual and specific health care needs. • Review Progress Notes and other related health care documentation to determine if the health and healthcare needs of the person receiving services are being addressed. <p>If PCR, ask person receiving services how the Support Coordinator has assisted in addressing personal health care needs.</p>	<p>was aware of but had not addressed the person’s health and health care needs.</p> <p>3) Support Coordinator had not advocated for the person to receive annual physicals and/or needed specialists visits.</p> <p>4) Support Coordinator did not document follow up on medical doctor visits, results, medication changes, etc.</p> <p>5) The Support Coordinator addresses the individual's health and health care needs but has not documented knowledge and efforts.</p>	
20	The Support Coordinator monitors to ensure person’s safety needs are addressed.	<p>CMS Assurance - Health and Welfare iBudget Handbook RECORD REVIEW/PROVIDER INTERVIEW</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ask the Support Coordinator to describe the method used to gain knowledge of person’s safety needs. • Review file for documentation of gaining knowledge of person’s safety needs. • Ask the Support Coordinator for person individualized safety needs. • Ask the Support Coordinator how she/he has addressed 	<p>1) Documentation indicated Support Coordinator was not aware of the person's individualized safety needs.</p> <p>2) Documentation indicated Support Coordinator was aware of but had not addressed the person's individualized safety needs.</p> <p>3) Support Coordinator had not advocated for the person to receive additional education/training in the area of safety.</p> <p>4) Support Coordinator did not document follow up on safety issues.</p>	Health & Welfare

Service Specific Record Review – Support Coordination

#	Performance Measure/Standard	Protocol	Not Met Reasons	CMS Assurance
		<p>the person's safety needs.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Look to see if safety issues arose and how they were addressed. Was there follow through? • Review Progress Notes and Support Coordinator's documentation of safety needs to determine if the person's safety needs are being addressed. <p>If PCR, ask person receiving services how the Support Coordinator has provided education on safety needs in relation to natural disasters, community safety and home safety.</p>	<p>5) The Support Coordinator addresses the individual's safety needs and safety skills but has not documented knowledge and efforts.</p>	
21	<p>The Support Coordinator is aware of the person's history regarding abuse, neglect, and/or exploitation.</p>	<p>CMS Assurance - Health and Welfare iBudget Handbook RECORD REVIEW/PROVIDER INTERVIEW</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ask the Support Coordinator about the person's history in regards to abuse, neglect and/or exploitation. • Ask the Support Coordinator about method of documenting this information. • Look for documentation in the record about past abuse or lack thereof. <p>If PCR, ask the person about any history regarding abuse, neglect and/or exploitation. Ask person if the Support Coordinator has ever discussed history.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Support Coordinator had no knowledge of the individual's history regarding abuse, neglect, and/or exploitation. 2) Support Coordinator was aware of the individual's history regarding abuse, neglect, and/or exploitation, but had not documented knowledge and/or efforts to gather this information. 3) Documentation did not demonstrate the Support Coordination had addressed issues related to abuse, neglect, and exploitation that continue to impact and cause distress for the individual. 	Health & Welfare
22	<p>The Support Coordinator assists the person receiving services to define abuse, neglect, and exploitation including</p>	<p>CMS Assurance - Health and Welfare iBudget Handbook RECORD REVIEW/PROVIDER INTERVIEW</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ask the Support Coordinator to describe the process used to gather information on how the person receiving services 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Support Coordinator had no knowledge of how the person receiving services would define abuse, neglect, and/or exploitation. 2) Support Coordinator had no knowledge of how the person receiving services would report any incidents of abuse, neglect, and 	Health & Welfare

Service Specific Record Review – Support Coordination

#	Performance Measure/Standard	Protocol	Not Met Reasons	CMS Assurance
	how the person receiving services would report any incidents.	<p>defines abuse.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ask the Support Coordinator how the person receiving services would report abuse if it were to occur. • Ask the Support Coordinator how the person receiving services has been educated on the definition of abuse neglect and/or exploitation. • Review the Support Plan, Progress Notes and other documentation for documentation on the person's definition of abuse. • Review the Support Plan, Progress Notes and other documentation for documentation on education the Support Coordinator has provided regarding abuse, neglect and/or exploitation. <p>If PCR, ask the person receiving services for a definition of abuse, neglect, and exploitation, how it would be reported, and if the Support Coordinator has provided education and discussion on the topic.</p>	<p>exploitation.</p> <p>3) Documentation did not indicate efforts to provide education to the person receiving services in this area.</p> <p>4) The Support Coordinator is aware of the individual's definition of abuse, neglect, and exploitation and how the individual would report any incidents but has not documented knowledge and efforts.</p>	
23	How many provider changes for the individual? (not scored just data collected)			
24	How many WSC changes for the individual? (not scored just data collected)			