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New Staff

General Staff Training
Carol McDuff and Said Sanchez attended Day 1 of the Area Quality Leader training in Tampa in March. Ongoing instruction and training was provided via weekly WiSCC and bi-weekly CORE conference calls.

Overview of the WiSCC process was reviewed with the QICs on a conference call. It was used as a refresher and highlighted the consultative approach to the new process. Reliability scenarios continued for the CORE process.

IT Initiatives
IT continues to support several initiatives within the Florida project. They have been actively involved in updating the FSQAP website with new Web-based training initiatives. They have additionally participated in several of the Public Reporting Workgroup meetings and are deeply involved in the planning for and design of this website. The IT and Web-based staff meet weekly with Bob Foley, Julie Tyler and Sue Kelly to ensure good communication and that work is on track for a June 30 prototype of the new web site. The Easton IT group continues to participate in the weekly managers meetings. The weekly meetings continue to address ongoing needs and issues for the WiSCC and CORE processes.

WiSCC
Weekly conference calls continue with Delmarva managers and all WiSCC consultants. Minutes of these meetings are provided to all Delmarva managers and consultants. Each week new concerns/issues are discussed as well as best practices observed in the field. Over the past quarter topics such as the following were covered: Report Writing, Use of the Application, Consulting with WSC’s, Follow-up with T/A activities, Identifying Best Practices, as well as updates regarding other Delmarva activities such as the Public Reporting Workgroup and Delmarva/District meetings.

The regional managers have continued to produce scenarios for each reviewer to evaluate. These focus on one to two elements and are discussed during the calls. Currently, results from the scoring on these scenarios will be reviewed in the fourth quarter, and plans on how to continue scenarios and reliability checks throughout the fourth quarter and into Year five will be discussed.
**POM Monitoring and Annual Reliability**
The Council continues to provide on site monitoring of 5% of all POMs conducted annually. Council representatives accompany Reviewers on interviews and observe the administration of the POM’s as well as the overall WiSCC process. Monitoring is designed to ensure that reliability in conducting the POM interviews is maintained as well as to provide ongoing technical assistance as needed.

In addition to monitoring provided by the Council, Delmarva Managers and other staff such as the Nurse Reviewer and Customer Service Specialist have monitored WiSCC reviews during the third quarter of contract Year Four. Managers provide assistance and feedback in order to continue to build reliability among the reviewers and to enhance development of a consultative approach to the process. Reviewers will continue to be monitored if, in the managers’ opinion, additional feedback is needed to ensure consistent application of the new tools.

Any issues identified during the POM interview process are also discussed during the weekly conference calls with Delmarva Managers and Consultants.

**Annual Gold Standard Reviews**
100% of the WiSCC and POM reviews are reviewed by the Regional Managers. Regional Manager review and approval of all reports continues to ensure accuracy and consistency in the identification and development of recommendations, as well as the consistency of application for all elements. The process of report review and approval includes individual follow up and consultation with the reviewer.

**Consumer and Family Feedback**
The AHCA Recipient Survey continues to be distributed to individuals participating in the Personal Outcome Measures interview portion of the PCR process. AHCA staff maintains a report on this feedback. A committee has been formed to revise the Recipient Survey. This committee met prior to the IQC meeting in December in Miami, and prior to the March IQC meeting. As a result of the March meeting, a new draft of the recipient survey has been completed and will be presented to AHCA, APD and other Delmarva staff and partners at the April status meeting.

**CORE**

**Q & A document and protocol update**
Biweekly conference calls with Delmarva Managers and Consultants were continued this quarter, with a focus on any issues, problems or concerns generated from the new consultation process. Minutes of these meetings were provided to all review staff and include Q&A’s. To improve reliability, several review scenarios are distributed prior to the conference calls. The scenarios focus on a different element each time. These are reviewed and “scored” by each reviewer, the results discussed and analyzed during the call. Results of these scenarios will be more closely examined when all 18 of the
outcome elements have been discussed, prior to June 30. This procedure will continue to build reliability for the new process.

Delmarva Managers and other staff such as the Nurse Reviewer and Customer Service Specialist have monitored CORE reviews during the third quarter of contract Year Four. Managers provide assistance and feedback in order to continue to build reliability among the reviewers and to enhance development of a consultative approach to the process. Reviewers will continue to be monitored if, in the managers’ opinion, additional feedback is needed to ensure consistent application of the new tools.

In addition, each reviewer was visited by JCR staff/representatives Vince Digangi and Jeff Lefco during the second quarter. The purpose of the statewide visits with reviewers was to discuss any problem or concern reviewers might have with the new processes, to develop reasonable timelines for completing all the necessary CORE evaluations, and to provide feedback to the reviewers on their performance to date. Based upon the feedback from these visits, several internal tools were developed to assist the consultants in the review process. These tools are used as guidelines and cover the following areas: guidelines for report writing, including the annual and follow up consultations, guidelines for the initial provider contact, and an update was made to the policy and procedure reference sheet.

As a part of internal quality improvement, the CORE Tool and a procedure related to scoring and follow up consultations were revised and improved. These improvements were based upon comments and suggestions from the QICs and were submitted to APD and AHCA, and approved. Letters associated with the CORE process including those that accompany the CORE reports were also revised and approved by AHCA and APD.

Scoring and documentation analysis
Regional Managers reviewed and approved 100% of the CORE Reviews. Ongoing feedback was provided as necessary. Ongoing review by the Regional Managers provided a mechanism to ensure that reviewers were consistently interpreting elements and documenting justifications in an efficient and appropriate manner.

Medical Peer Review
The Medical Director completes a quality assurance review on a random selection of individuals. However, this process has not yet been completed for the new WiSCC procedures and will be completed during the fourth quarter. The process has been delayed because of difficulties in creating the component in the application needed to
obtain the sample and other materials necessary for the review. This will be resolved prior to year’s end so the Peer Review can be completed.

**Evaluation of Provider/consumer education**

Two formal education/training sessions were provided during the third quarter. There were over 50 participants that included an array of providers. The overall average evaluation score for the training was 3.4 on a 4-point scale. In addition, statewide training was provided to all district staff for CORE on February 23, and for WiSCC on March 10. This training was provided by Delmarva managers and attended by all interested District Representatives, Linda Mabile and Steve Dunaway.

**Timeliness and Submission of Deliverables**

Delmarva and its program partners are continuing to develop internal management systems to ensure that required timelines for conducting reviews are being met and that review data are being gathered in a format that can be analyzed in an aggregate form. Due to the start-up of the new processes and the impact of four hurricanes this year, review volume has been negatively impacted. A planning meeting of the Delmarva managers took place in January to review the number of reviews yet to be completed and develop a reasonable timeline with which to accomplish them. This is an ongoing item that is being closely monitored.
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CORE Outcome Element Evaluation Levels

Achieving

- Implementing components are present.
- The organization is assisting individuals to achieve outcomes, or to complete increments toward achieving the outcomes.
- Results that communicate choices and preferences that matter most to the person being served are observable.
- Consistent practices of self-determination/person-centered supports are evident in the organization’s mission and practices.
- Provider knows the people they serve, includes their choices and preferences that matter most to each person, and continuously probes to ensure that this information is current and accurate.
- Education, Experience and Exposure are present, practiced and evident on a consistent basis.

Implementing

- Consistent action toward achieving outcome increments is predominately present, with only a few sporadic inconsistencies present.
- Strategies and organizational practices are in place to effect change and focus on the individual, but the results have not yet been achieved.
- Provider has general information regarding the people they serve and has methodologies in place for continued probing to update their knowledge about the person. However, this methodology is not consistently applied to all persons served.
- Education, Experience and Exposure are generally taking place and are being integrated into service delivery, but not all opportunities are being addressed.

Emerging

- Some or sporadic action toward achieving outcome increments may be seen, but overall outcomes are not being achieved.
- The provider has some systematic practices that relate to the individual’s outcomes but they are implemented sporadically.
- Provider has general information regarding the people they serve but has no consistent system in place for continued probing to update their knowledge about the person.
- Some Education, Experience and Exposure may be taking place. However, the provider is not systematically and consistently implementing these concepts.
Not Present

- Little to no appropriate action has been taken related to the individual’s identified outcomes.
- Any implementation related to the achievement of the individual’s outcomes is either inconsistent or without direction.
- There is little or no evidence regarding the organization’s mission, coordination and practice in the principles of self-determination/person-centered supports.
- The provider has limited information about the individuals and their choices and preferences.
- No planned or directed Education, Experience and Exposure are taking place.
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**Outcome Elements**

1. The individual is educated and assisted by provider to fully exercise rights.
2. The individual is treated with dignity and respect.
3. The individual’s personal privacy is observed.
4. The individual actively participates in decisions concerning his or her life.
5. Individual is provided with opportunities to receive services in the most integrated settings appropriate to his/her needs and according to his/her choice.
6. Individual is afforded choice of services and supports.
7. Individual is free from abuse, neglect and exploitation.
8. Individual is healthy.
9. Individual is Safe.
10. The individual is developing desired social roles that are of value to the individual.
11. A personal outcome approach is used to design person-centered supports and services, and to enhance service delivery in order to assist each individual in achieving personal outcomes.
12. Individual directs the design of his/her implementation plan, identifying needed skills and strategies to accomplish personal desired goals.
13. The provider organizes resources, strategies and interventions to facilitate each individual’s outcome achievement.
14. The individual participates in the routine review of his/her implementation plan and directs changes desired to assure outcomes/goals are met.
15. Individual is achieving his/her desired outcomes/goals or receive supports that demonstrate progress toward personal outcomes/goals.
16. The provider takes responsibility for addressing individual outcome areas beyond the provider's mission and scope through referral, advocacy or consultation.
17. The provider actively coordinates the dissemination of information to the individual/family/guardian and other providers in order to promote a cohesive person-centered planning and support process.
18. Individual is satisfied with services.

**Minimum Service Requirement Elements**

19. Provider meets service specific projected service outcomes(s) as identified for each service: Adult Day Training, Non-Residential Support Services, Residential Habilitation, Supported Employment, Supported Living.
20. Level 2 background screenings, and five-year re-screenings, are completed for all direct service employees.
21. Independent providers and agency staff receive other training specific to the needs or characteristics of the individual as required to successfully provide services and
supports. NOTE: New providers have the required training and qualifications required for the service.

22. Proof of required training in recognition of abuse and neglect and the required reporting procedures are available for all independent providers and agency staff.

23. Provider is authorized to provide the service.

24. The service is provided and billed as authorized.

25. The provider maintains required documentation. NOTE: New providers maintain required documentation to include all required policies and procedures.
Attachment 4

WiSCC Outcome Element Evaluation Levels

The following offers an overall description of the WiSCC evaluation levels. However, the levels are also defined more specifically, relevant to each of the six outcome elements, in the WiSCC tool. The complete tool can be reviewed at http://www.dfmc-florida.org/docs/AA-WiSCC_Tool7-22-04.pdf.

Achieving
Implementing components are present and results are observable for the individual being served.

Implementing
Clear strategies to effect change are in place but the results have not yet been achieved; Education, Exposure and Experience (EEE) are taking place and are being integrated into service delivery; WSCs demonstrate advocacy, empowerment, action, responsiveness, and flexibility in their efforts to support individuals to achieve results.

Emerging
WSCs know the people they serve, have methodologies in place to continue to learn more about them and can define existing barriers. However, little to no appropriate or effective action is being taken on their behalf. Any implementation that may exist is either inconsistent, without rationale, or without direction. No EEE are taking place.

Not Present
WSCs do not know the preferences, likes or dislikes of the individuals they serve, nor whom the supports or important people are in their lives. The WSCs may have no method in place to learn about the individuals or gather pertinent information regarding their life.
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Outcome Elements

1. Waiver Support Coordinators (WSC) have an effective method for learning about the people who are receiving their supports and services.
2. The WSCs are aware of the health, safety and well-being of the people they serve and advocate and coordinate in concert with them to support and address identified needs or issues.
3. The support plan is developed with the person and is reflective of the communicated choices and preferences that matter most to the individual.
4. The WSCs have evaluated the effectiveness of all supports for each person they serve and have implemented strategies to address any barriers that have been identified.
5. The WSC have facilitated educational opportunities, practical experiences, and exposure to ideas (EEE) to increase opportunities for choice and promote self-determination.
6. The WSCs have facilitated the accomplishment of positive results that reflect communicated choices and preferences that matter most to the person.

Minimum Service Requirement Elements

7. Level 2 background screenings, and five-year re-screenings, are completed for all direct service employees.
8. The WSC has attended required training.
9. WSC services and all other service providers are authorized by an approved cost plan and service authorization (or purchasing plan for individuals on CDC Plus).
10. The provider bills for the service at the authorized rate.
11. The provider maintains documentation required for billing.
## Statewide or National Presentations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description of Activity</th>
<th>Participants and Audience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January 22, 2005</td>
<td>Training for Dist. 2</td>
<td>Susan DeBeaugrine &amp; Claudia Kassack provided training for consumers and family members presenting ways to evaluate services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 15, 2005</td>
<td>Training for Dist. 10</td>
<td>CORE training was provided for providers in a morning session presented by Anna Quintyne and Carol McDuff. An afternoon session reviewed the WISCC process and was presented by Carol McDuff.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Contacts & Meetings by B. Foley

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description of Activity</th>
<th>Participants and Audience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January 6, 2005</td>
<td>Update Meeting with District 14</td>
<td>Bob Foley, Christie Gentry, and Eric Olsen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 13, 2005</td>
<td>Status Meeting</td>
<td>Delmarva, APD, AHCA, JCR, The Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 20, 2005</td>
<td>Discussion regarding Grant Training</td>
<td>Linda Mabile, Steve Dunaway, Anne Buechner, and Bob F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2, 2005</td>
<td>Public Reporting Workgroup</td>
<td>See Minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 8, 2005</td>
<td>Training at FARC Meeting</td>
<td>FARF Members, Bob F., Carol McDuff, and Anna Quintyne.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 15, 2005</td>
<td>District 14 Stakeholders Meeting</td>
<td>Bob F., Charmaine Pillay, and Christie G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 16, 2005</td>
<td>Region 23 Quarterly Meeting</td>
<td>Bob F., Kristin Allen, Barbara Hawkins, Christie G., Wanda Blanton, Brenda Clark, Dave LaPere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 16, 2005</td>
<td>District 14 Quarterly Meeting</td>
<td>Bob F., Christie G., Charmaine P., Eric Olsen, and Carla Bettis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 18, 2005</td>
<td>APD/District 14 Provider Training Discussion</td>
<td>Bob F., Desi Lee, JB Black, Melinda Coulter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 23, 2005</td>
<td>Public Reporting Workgroup</td>
<td>See Minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event Description</td>
<td>Participants and Audience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 23, 2005</td>
<td>Delmarva/District Call-Training on the CORE Process</td>
<td>Marion Olivier-Ruelas, Anna Q., Carol M., Bob F., Linda Mabile, Steve Dunaway, District Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 24, 2005</td>
<td>Status Meeting</td>
<td>Delmarva, APD, AHC, JCR, The Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 4, 2005</td>
<td>District 14 Provider Meeting</td>
<td>Bob F., Charmaine P., Eric Olsen, other District 14 APD Reps., District 14 Providers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 8, 2005</td>
<td>Public Reporting Small Workgroup</td>
<td>See Minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 10, 2005</td>
<td>Delmarva/District Call-Training on the WiSCC Process</td>
<td>Marion Olivier-Ruelas, Carol M., Bob F., Linda Mabile, Steve Dunaway, District Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 15, 2005</td>
<td>Public Reporting Workgroup</td>
<td>See Minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 30-31, 2005</td>
<td>IQC in Tallahassee</td>
<td>IQC Members</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District Contacts Region One</th>
<th>District &amp; Type of Contact</th>
<th>Participants and Audience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>February 28</td>
<td>District 4 – Quarterly Meeting</td>
<td>Marion Olivier-Ruelas and 9 district staff attended. Discussed the CORE and WiSCC process and discussed the latest available data. Discussed issues related to CORE notification, WiSCC scheduling, on-line training modules, Follow Up consultation processes, and gave recent findings. Also discussed the reports for CORE and WiSCC and issues related to support plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 4</td>
<td>District 2 – Quarterly Meeting</td>
<td>Marion Olivier-Ruelas, Nilda Barreto, and 6 district staff attended. Discussed the CORE and WiSCC process and discussed the latest available data. Discussed issues related to CORE notification, WiSCC scheduling, on-line training modules, Follow Up consultation processes, and gave recent findings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 7</td>
<td>District 3- Quarterly Meeting</td>
<td>Marion Olivier-Ruelas, Christine Stevenson, Theresa Skidmore and 6 district staff attended. Discussed the CORE and WiSCC process and discussed the latest available data. Discussed issues related to CORE notification, WiSCC scheduling, on-line training modules, Follow Up consultation processes, and gave recent findings. Discussed the medication quarterly reports and the request from the district for training on CORE/WiSCC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Contacts Region One</td>
<td>District &amp; Type of Contact</td>
<td>Participants and Audience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 7</td>
<td>District 13- Quarterly Meeting</td>
<td>Marion Olivier-Ruelas, Christine Stevenson, Theresa Skidmore and 4 district staff attended. Discussed the CORE and WiSCC process and discussed the latest available data. Discussed issues related to CORE notification, WiSCC scheduling, online training modules, Follow Up consultation processes, and gave recent findings. Discussed reinforcing with providers that consultative approach with the processes and encouraged the district to push the “lead dogs.” Discussed an issue related to money management for individuals in residential settings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 8</td>
<td>District 4 – Supported Living Coach Conference</td>
<td>Sil Vazquez attended a Supported Living conference and gave information on the new CORE process, which included the new process itself, the provider’s role in the process, interviews with individuals and the closing conference.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 14</td>
<td>District 12- Quarterly Meeting</td>
<td>Marion Olivier-Ruelas, and 5 district staff attended. Discussed the CORE and WiSCC process and discussed the latest available data. Discussed issues related to CORE notification, WiSCC scheduling, online training modules, Follow Up consultation processes, and gave recent findings. Discussed IP submission for cost plan reviews, public reporting workgroup, and training documentation for providers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 22</td>
<td>District 1- Quarterly Meeting</td>
<td>Marion Olivier-Ruelas, and 3 district staff attended. Discussed the CORE and WiSCC process and discussed the latest available data. Discussed issues related to CORE notification, WiSCC scheduling, online training modules, Follow Up consultation processes, and gave recent findings. Mark Berg announced that he would no longer be the liaison and Linda Hoover was taking on this role. Discussed the synergy between the two processes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 21</td>
<td>District 13 – Implementation Plan Training</td>
<td>Christine Stevenson assisted in the planning and development of the Implementation Plan Training with new district trainer, Linda Bodo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 22</td>
<td>District 13 – Implementation Plan Training</td>
<td>Christine Stevenson served as consultant and assisted when necessary as D-13 trainer, Linda Bodo, facilitated IP training.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District Contacts Region Two</th>
<th>District &amp; Type of Contact</th>
<th>Participants and Audience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>February 15, 2005</td>
<td>Meeting with Dist. 10</td>
<td>Carol McDuff, R.M., met with district representatives to discuss training results as well as future training needs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 16, 2005</td>
<td>Quarterly Meeting – Dist. 15</td>
<td>RM met with seven members of the dist. 15 staff, including Steve Stoltz. Discussed district and state data, Area Quality Leaders initiative, Delmarva on-line training, and district specific concerns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 17, 2005</td>
<td>Quarterly Meeting – Dist. 9</td>
<td>Carol McDuff, R.M., met with dist. 9 representatives, including Deb Blizzard. Discussed district and state data, Area Quality Leaders initiative, Delmarva on-line training, and district specific concerns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 23, 2005</td>
<td>Quarterly Meeting – Dist. 8</td>
<td>Carol McDuff, R.M., met with six dist. 8 staff including Marsha Vollmar. Discussed district and state data, Area Quality Leaders initiative, Delmarva on-line training, and district specific concerns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 24, 2005</td>
<td>Quarterly Meeting – Dist. 11</td>
<td>Carol McDuff, R.M., met with Kirk Ryon. Discussed district and state data, Area Quality Leaders initiative, Delmarva on-line training, and district specific concerns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 28, 2005</td>
<td>Quarterly Meeting – Dist. 7</td>
<td>Delmarva and JCR staff met with about six dist. 7 staff. Discussed the Area Quality Leaders initiative, Delmarva on-line training, and district specific concerns.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>